Where do we go from here?
Past, Present and Future trends in Rock Magnetism

IRM staff, the RAC and community members

Look closely enough at any natural material and you will find traces of magnetic minerals. Ranging from nano- to macro-scales across the solar system, they provide a singular view of its evolution (especially for Earth), because the magnetic remanence and hysteresis associated with ferromagnetic minerals preserve a record of past events. The idea that magnetic minerals in rocks and archeological artifacts can serve as proxy magnetometers to measure prehistoric magnetic fields surfaced in the late 19th century. However, the subject truly gained momentum as paleomagnetism provided critical evidence for continental drift and plate tectonics, established that reversals are an intrinsic feature of geomagnetic field variability, and developed the magnetostratigraphic polarity time scale in conjunction with radiometric dating. Rock and mineral magnetism have provided the theoretical and experimental underpinnings for these discoveries and, through studies of specific materials, continue to provide crucial insights into how and why materials acquire and retain magnetization in a broad range of both stable and evolving environments. Stable magnetic structures were discovered in bacteria and other organisms, and their remains are being found in the geological record with increasing ease. The development of environmental magnetism as a mechanism for studying paleoclimate and the environment is another important watershed within our community.

Over 140 research groups exist today worldwide, working on a wide range of topics in rock- and paleomagnetism, and every year hundreds of papers are published on these topics in peer reviewed scientific journals. For each of the last three years the Institute for Rock Magnetism’s annual bibliographic compilation has logged over 500 magnetics related papers excluding those primarily related to space physics (http://www.irm.umn.edu/IRM/refs.html).

The idea of compiling a document that collects the community’s thoughts on “Our Science” dates back at least as far as the 1986 Asilomar conference (Banerjee, 1987, EOS 68, 650-663). The current incarnation originated at a meeting of the IRM’s Review and Advisory Committee with IRM faculty and staff in 2011, and an initial draft by Joshua Feinberg and Catherine Constable was circulated to ~50 prominent researchers in the different fields of rock and mineral magnetism. Such a document was meant to mention some broadly acknowledged magnetic success stories, note how views have evolved over the past decades, describe some anticipated directions for the 21st century magnetics research, and include a synopsis of the resources needed to conduct this kind of science. It is an attempt to collect scientific ideas with international currency, reflecting the global collegiality and collaborations inherent to our discipline, outlining for community discussion some views on captivating problems in mineral, rock, and paleomagnetic research that have important links into broad-based Earth Science Problems.

At the 2012 9th Santa Fe Conference on Rock Magnetism the floor was opened for further discussion and the input received was condensed into an article by Josh Feinberg and circulated to the Institute for Rock Magnetism’s Research and Advisory Committee for further screening and suggestions. The result of this effort is a white paper entitled Mineral, Rock, and Paleomagnetism: 21st Century Strengths and Directions, authored by the Institute for Rock Magnetism, Members of its Re-

The 2014 Santa Fe Conference on Rock Magnetism is approaching!
The 10th Santa Fe Conference on Rock magnetism will be help at St. John’s College in Santa Fe New Mexico from June 26-30 2014. An optional field trip will be offered on Thursday June 26 and conference sessions will begin later that evening. On Sunday June 30th there will be an optional all day FORC workshop for those who wish to attend. Registration and travel information is available on the IRM website and other media!
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Formation of monoclinic pyrrhotite in slightly metamorphosed argillaceous rocks: Some new insights.

Charles Aubourg  
Laboratory of Complex Fluids and Their Reservoirs. UMR5150 CNRS. University of Pau, France. charles.aubourg@univ-pau.fr

In slightly metamorphosed rocks, the contribution of monoclinic pyrrhotite has been reassessed thanks to the (re) discovery of the Besnus transition at 32K in the late 80’s (e.g. (Rochette, Fillion et al. 2011) for an update). Its occurrence in sub greenschist metamorphism is particularly well demonstrated in metamorphosed argillaceous rocks from the Alps (Crouzet, Ménard et al. 1999), Himalaya (Appel, Crouzet et al. 2012) and Taiwan (Horng, Huh et al. 2012). It is generally assumed that the formation of monoclinic pyrrhotite results from the breakdown of magnetite for burial temperature in the range ~200-300°C and from the breakdown of pyrite for temperature >300°C (Rochette 1987).

In the Taiwan belt, Horng et al. (2012) have investigated the occurrence of monoclinic pyrrhotite in metamorphosed argillaceous rocks. Using hysteresis loops, they observed a mix of ‘straight lines’ and ‘pyrrhotite-like’ trends. The ‘pyrrhotite-like’ loops are restricted to the epizone while the ‘straight-lines’ are localized in the anchizone. The transition between the two behaviors is sharp, and suggests that formation of pyrrhotite is relatively sudden. The aim of my stay at the IRM was to investigate low-temperature magnetic properties of argillaceous rocks in the Taiwan belt to 1) verify the occurrence of pyrrhotite and magnetite, 2) establish a relationship between the formation of pyrrhotite and burial temperatures.

The burial temperature is obtained from Raman Spectroscopy analysis (RSCM). Calibration curve provided by Beyssac et al. (Beyssac, Simoës et al. 2007) allows the detection of a minimum burial temperature near the Curie temperature of pyrrhotite with an absolute accuracy of ±30°C. Lahfid et al. (2010) extended the calibration curve in the range ~200°C to 320°C. However, the absolute accuracy of RSCM in the range 200-320°C is more questionable. Hence, the RSCM technique is particularly adapted to monitor the formation of pyrrhotite in argillaceous rocks.

We sampled argillaceous Tertiary marine rocks (claystones and siltstones) from fresh road cuts along sections from the Hushshan Range (Taiwan). To elucidate both Verwey (~120K) and Besnus (~35K) transitions, we measured low-temperature properties of a remanence acquired at 300K under a magnetic field of 2.5 T (RT-SIRM). In addition, we measured the FORC’s at room temperature of representative samples.

For burial temperature ~200-330°C, the RT-SIRM is low (~10-5 Am2/kg). we observe both the Verwey and the Besnus transitions (Fig. 1A-B). The Besnus transition is reversible, meaning that pyrrhotite is SD and close to 1 µm. The Verwey transition indicates that magnetite is stoichiometric. Magnetite is likely neoformed during diagenesis (Kars et al., 2012; Aubourg et al., 2012). For burial temperature >350 ±30°C, the Verwey transition is no longer detected and only the non-reversible Besnus transition is observed. In addition, the RT-SIRM increases by one to three orders in magnitude.

Our result suggests therefore that most of the magnetite consumption takes place for temperature near 350°C. At ~350°C, the pyrite breakdown starts, leading to the production of large amounts of pyrrhotite. This temperature is higher than the Curie temperature of pyrrhotite (Tc~325°C). Hence, the remanence acquired by argillaceous rocks is essentially a thermo remanent magnetization (Appel, Crouzet et al. 2012).
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view and Advisory Committee and Colleagues from the International Rock Magnetic and Paleomagnetic Community.

The intent is to circulate the paper via different channels, in the hope that these new frontiers will further foster cross-disciplinary research and excite the next generation of scientists entering our field. The full-version is to be sent to the NSF program directors, to inform and educate on the state-of-the-art of Earth Science-related magnetic research and what we as a community feel the future trends of magnetism will be. A formatted version will be circulated through EOS addressing the wider public to give an appreciation of where magnetism is at today, what has been achieved so far and where we believe it is headed. A web-link to the IRM’s website will also direct readers to the full document.

Through the IRM Quarterly, we address our community in a more informal manner, explaining the purpose and history of the paper to all those to whom it may be first-news, and reassuring that the White Paper by no means intends to exclude any research group or field of study, but on the contrary is the result of an open effort that ultimately seeks to foster collaboration, further unite, and strengthen the community.

Questions Driving Magnetics Research

We start by posing some representative broad-based science questions, which can be addressed through the collection of magnetic data, and interdisciplinary collaboration, beginning with those requiring a deep time perspective and ending with those that have a more current focus.

1. Did magnetic fields exist in the early solar nebula and play a major role in planet formation? It was only recently discovered that proto-planetary objects may have had short-lived dynamos. These early solar system fields, in addition to that of the evolving young Sun, may have magnetized many of the oldest extraterrestrial materials and celestial bodies. What can magnetic records from other planets and extraterrestrial objects tell us about their evolutionary history and that of the Earth?

2. What is the long term behavior of the Earth’s magnetic field, and how can observations of these behaviors inform questions regarding the geodynamic, atmospheric, and biologic development of the planet? Determining when the Earth’s magnetic field began and in what configuration (dipolar vs. non-dipolar) is a first-order geoscience question. As the astrophysics and planetary science communities strive to discover life on bodies outside our solar system, it is important that we better understand the implications of the geodynamo’s onset and evolution for terrestrial biologic and atmospheric evolution, as well as long term climate change. Are there changes in the overall strength and variability of the geomagnetic field over the past 4.5 Ga that can inform us about major events in Earth history and geodynamics? Are paleomagnetic observations over geological time consistent with the Geocentric Axial Dipole (GAD) hypothesis, which posits that the planet’s geographic poles are coincident with the magnetic poles on timescales >10 ka? This GAD hypothesis is central to all efforts to reconstruct tectonic plate movements, to determine the assembly and disaggregation of super-continents, to normalize paleointensity estimates from different latitudes, and to apply magnetostratigraphic techniques to volcanic and sedimentary sequences. If the field has been significantly non-dipolar over long periods of time, when were these intervals and what was their underlying cause? Has extreme True Polar Wander (dramatic spin axis shifts of the Earth) occurred in the geological past, and if so, what were the controlling factors? Can we use mantle plumes as a fixed reference frame with respect to the mantle, or do these blow in the “mantle wind” on short time scales with respect to the spin axis? All of these questions require a deeper view of the long-term behavior of the Earth’s geomagnetic field and its interconnected relationships with mantle, crustal, atmospheric, and biological systems.

3. What is the short-term behavior of the Earth’s magnetic field? What do high-resolution paleomagnetic records and derived paleofield models for the last 1 Ma tell us about the geodynamo and its underlying physical processes? How fast can the geomagnetic field change during secular variation (time scale of <10 ka), dipole reversals (1-10 ka) and short term dipolar excursions (<1 ka)? Are all these processes part of the same continuum or does one influence the others? Can we improve our understanding of the way that sediments acquire a depositional remanence, overcoming such limitations as lock-in depth, redox reactions, and inclination shallowing, so that we can ultimately get a clearer, more continuous view of short-term geomagnetic behavior? Can we improve the integration of paleomagnetic records from sedimentary, volcanic, and archaeological sources to create statistical geomagnetic models with better geographic coverage? Have past short-term geomagnetic variations influenced global and regional climate, and by extension, ancient human cultures? Looking forwards, what are the implications of this short-term behavior on the effects of space weather on human satellite systems? How can we use past geomagnetic behavior to prepare for the future?

4. How accurately are environmental and climate signals recorded by magnetic minerals in soils, sediments, and rocks? It has long been known that minerals in igneous and sedimentary environments are a reflection of the thermodynamic and chemical conditions in which they formed. Environmental magnetists have successfully leveraged this idea to compile histories of regional environmental and global climate change. Magnetic enhancement records from ancient soils in Chinese loess (eolian dust deposits) have provided the first long term (>2 Ma) continental climate record. But why are such enhancements absent in some other loess deposits, and how can other magnetic signatures from these sequences
be used to decipher regional paleoclimatic variations? Paleomagnetic and oxygen isotopic records from marine sediments have verified the Milankovitch hypothesis of climate cycles on timescales >40 ka. Can higher frequency (< 10 ka) records of paleointensity fluctuations in selected marine sediments be made more reliable to extract sharper climate change records to test climate change models? Can we reconstruct iron biogeochemical cycles in the oceans by magnetically tracking iron speciation and chemical alteration in oxides, hydroxides and sulfides in marine sediments? Can we use paleosols, developed on sediments other than loess, to better constrain paleo-precipitation across a diversity of latitudes? Many micro-organisms produce intracellular or extracellular magnetic minerals whose formation and survival can be magnetically sensed with high accuracy. Can we utilize these magnetic signals to reconstruct fluctuating paleoredox states in ocean environments? Magnetic measurements coupled with low-temperature geochemistry and geomicrobiology could help disentangle the complementary inorganic and organic processes that define vague, but critically important terms like “pedogenesis” and “diagenesis.”

5. How are magnetic minerals and magnetic fields involved in active Earth processes and can we monitor them to minimize adverse impacts on human communities, while at the same time maximizing the needs of a growing populace? Magnetic minerals occur as natural and anthropogenic components in atmospheric dust, runoff into rivers and oceans, and groundwater. Can we better establish the link between the concentration, composition, and physical properties of Fe-bearing minerals in atmospheric dust and their impact on the absorption of solar radiation, weather, melting of snow and ice, terrestrial and marine fertility, as well as on air quality and human health and safety? Similarly, the concentration of magnetic minerals in soils and river sediments has been shown to correlate with the concentration of heavy metals. Can environmental magnetists create innovative ways to quantitatively leverage their magnetic measurements, which are cheap, efficient, and easily automated, to improve standard environmental monitoring practices? As our communities become increasingly urbanized and require additional raw and processed materials, can we improve magnetic-based exploration tools, such as aeromagnetic surveys, magnetotellurics and magnetic fabric analysis to locate and responsibly extract natural resources such as copper, gold, and platinum group elements? Can we use magnetic methods to monitor the status of sulfide mineralogy within tailing piles to ensure that acid-mine drainage from these endeavors does not compromise our groundwater? While all of these modern applications are tantalizing, studies of such approaches are mostly lacking, and it is the responsibility of the magnetics community to build bridges among diverse disciplines in geology, geophysics, geochemistry, climate modeling, ecology, cryospheric studies, and human health to thereby advance these goals.

Addressing the Questions

Answering questions like the ones listed above requires the design of appropriate experiments and collection of paleomagnetic and rock magnetic data, but also the development of new magnetic instrumentation that is sensitive enough to give us the accuracy that is needed. These go hand in hand, and inevitably technological advances lead to accelerated progress and new possibilities in magnetic research. A notable landmark was the construction of the first superconducting rock magnetometer (see Goree and Fuller, 1976), whose excellent sensitivity made possible measurements of weakly magnetic materials and opened up new applications such as biogeomagnetism and environmental magnetism.

We conducted a simple exercise by searching magnetic areas of research-related keywords in the ISI Web of Knowledge database going back to 1954 in ten year intervals, and noting the number of references that are obtained (Figure 1). The result gives an interesting overview on how magnetics research has evolved, bearing in mind the limitations of such an exercise: not all references are present; not all that are retrieved are in the Earth sciences; keyword searching is by no means comprehensive; and a time-lag (hysteresis?) is always to be expected. Generalized trends, however, can still be teased out.

Rock Magnetism and Paleomagnetism (fortunately for us ISI Web of Knowledge searches for the spelling Palaeomagnetism also) have progressed hand in hand with developments in Magnetometry, and understandably the latter is the keyword that yields the most results, although most of those articles are undoubtedly outside of the geosciences. Rock magnetism and Paleomagnetism are the only Earth science-related keywords for which results date back to the earliest decade searched (1954-1963).

The next fields to appear in the search results are those involving geomagnetic field records and variations at the smaller time scales, like Magnetic Excursions and Paleointensity, which first occur in the decade 1964-73. Biogeomagnetism and Planetary Magnetism also start appearing at this time. Articles with the keywords Magnetostratigraphy, Paleosecular Variation, Depositional (or Detrital) remanent Magnetization, Geo- dynamo and True Polar Wonder begin appearing in the 1974-83 interval, possibly highlighting an interest shift towards the development of new magnetic instrumentation that is sensitive enough to give us the accuracy that is needed. Environmental studies (below) and brought about by the recognition of Milankovitch cycles and the use of magnetic proxies to identify such cyclicity. Environmental Magnetism, Magnetic Databases and Computation, Extraterrestrial Magnetism are also “up and coming” areas of study and development.

Crust Deformation, Magnetism and Climate, Diagenesis and Magnetism, Core Evolution and Mantle Dynamics are the last areas of research to appear in the
database, first occurring in the search results for the mid-eighties to early nineties.

The generalized pattern that appears from this search seems to reflect an evolution from the general study of Rock Magnetism to its applications in Paleomagnetism (Plate Tectonics) and behavior of the geomagnetic field. An outstanding pattern that emerges from these data is the quasi-exponential growth in publication rate in most of the research areas, since the appearance of the first publications in the ISI search engine. What is clear is that projecting these trends into the future will result into well over a thousand articles per year in the next ten years for the most prominent areas of magnetic research. This increasing trend must reflect both the tremendous research advances made in recent years and the increasingly competitive nature of academic research. What is sure, though is that we will all need reading glasses soon, if we don’t already.

The top areas of research for the last ten years based on the number of hits in Web of Knowledge, are: Magnetometry (4,118); Paleomagnetism (1,531); Magnetostatigraphy (816); Rock Magnetism (722); Magnetic Excursions (600); Environmental Magnetism combined with Climate studies (408+190, though Climate could also contain articles on climatic effects on the dynamo); Paleointensity (529); Geodynamo (516). Also notably, True Polar Wander (209) and Paleosecular Variation (139) have seen a steady increase since their inception, whereas Biomagnetism (125) is a ‘well-established player’ in today’s science.

Future trends

Below are examples of new research opportunities that have opened up due to advances in mineral magnetism, nanomaterial analysis and computational modeling (micromagnetic modeling) of magnetic spins in particles.

1. Advances in materials and methodologies for paleointensity determination from terrestrial and extraterrestrial materials. Improving our compilation of the history of the strength of geomagnetic field remains one of the central challenges facing rock magnetism and paleomagnetism. Over the last 10 years the community has made great strides in using submicrometer-sized magnetite crystals embedded in many types of silicates and submarine basaltic glasses. The use of such ideal magnetic recorders, which are protected from subsequent alteration by their silicate hosts, needs to be expanded to include oxide exsolution microstructures and single crystal zircons. Parallel experimental studies are needed to utilize new scanning technologies like atomic and magnetic force microscopes capable of operating at temperatures higher than 300 K. Computational micromagnetic models need to become more realistic by including magnetoelastic interactions with internal defects. Better models are also needed for the dependence of magnetization on the rate of change of temperature and magnetic fields. These models can help us separate primary signals from secondary magnetizations due to viscous, chemical and late generation re-heating processes. New thermal and analog paleointensity methods need to be developed to extract paleointensities from extraterrestrial samples including alloys and sulfides of iron nickel and iron phosphates. Meteorites and lunar rocks provide records of past magnetic fields on other planetary bodies and in the nebula. However, most samples cannot be analyzed with alternating field (AF) demagnetization because the alternating field generates a spurious anhysteretic remanence (ARM). Overcoming this problem requires the development of low-ARM noise systems, which would permit the paleomagnetic investigations of a much greater diversity of samples (including entire groups of meteorites) that are currently inaccessible. This instrumental development would also assist in the analysis of multidomain samples on Earth. The rewards for such studies include new knowledge about the magnetic fields associated with the early solar system, the Moon, meteorites, Mercury, as well as an improved understanding of the source of very large crustal magnetic anomalies as on Mars. On Earth, the number of available paleointensity estimates and their temporal continuity might be greatly enhanced by a generally applicable theoretical understanding of what controls the acquisition and strength of magnetization in sediments.

2. Environmental magnetism and paleoclimate reconstruction. Environmental magnetism has existed as a discipline only for the last 30 years. However, the contributions of rock, mineral and sediment magnetism in this area have had a large impact. For example, magnetic records from windblown and fluvial sediments have helped produce the first long (2 Ma) record of Milankovitch cycles on land and have identified modern heavy metal pollution from multiple sources in central Europe and Asia. The mineral magnetic properties of atmospheric dust, as well as other related physical properties, are receiving increasing attention. The parameters sought for environmental studies are composition, concentration and particle size of the magnetic minerals contained in the sediments. However, the last 10 years have seen a strong push to make such results more quantitative and linked specifically to temperature, precipitation, wind intensity, hydrology and even microbial content, making it necessary for environmental magnetists to collaborate with sedimentologists, geochemists, atmospheric, and soil scientists. Such efforts have made it clear that we need to calibrate the magnetic effects of biogeochemical changes in the natural environment. Future studies will require the synthesis (biotic and abiotic) of nanoparticles of iron oxides and hydroxides that are analogous to the materials produced during the first stages of sediment diagenesis. Nanometer-sized, dual-phase grains consisting of a core and a 3-nm skin are commonly produced during diagenesis and atmospheric transport. To characterize their magnetizations and better understand the processes that regulate our environment, diverse tools like low temperature magnetism, Mössbauer spectroscopy, and synchrotron studies using techniques such as Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS),
X-ray Absorption Near Edge Structure (XANES), and X-ray Magnetic Circular Dichroism (XMCD) will be needed. The US can play an important role in these applications in the near future because of the availability of synchrotron sources and related environmental research in many centers such as the Pacific Northwest and Oak Ridge National Laboratories. Synchrotron facilities outside the United States, such as ISIS and the Diamond Facility in the United Kingdom and the Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility in China, are increasingly leading the charge in leveraging these new tools in the pursuit of magnetics research.

3. Advances in novel magnetometry for high spatial resolution study of single crystals from terrestrial and extraterrestrial sources. Recent advances in magnetic microscopy (e.g., scanning SQUID microscopes and off-axis electron holography) have demonstrated the importance of imaging in-situ fine scale magnetic structures and establishing their contributions to macroscopic phenomena. Dedicated instruments that allow researchers to carefully control the measurement environment (e.g., variable magnetic fields, >300 K temperatures, and controlled atmospheres) are needed for Earth scientists to study the origin and stability of magnetism at a variety of length scales, from nanometers to millimeters. Novel forms of magnetic microscopy and high sensitivity magnetometers need to be adapted for Earth materials. Some advances in magnetometers (e.g., atomic magnetometers) may be relatively affordable and suitable for all paleomagnetic labs. For others, it seems possible that instead of concentrating a number of such expensive instruments at a single center, the US might consider ‘multipod’ centers to exploit the first-generation equipment in existing materials science and nanoscience centers such as the NNINs (National Nanotechnology Infrastructure Network).

4. Development of spacecraft magnetometers for in situ planetary exploration. The continued spacecraft exploration of the solar system is offering unprecedented opportunities for carrying the above kinds of investigations to other bodies. Landers are in development or planned for the surfaces of the Moon, Mars, comets, and asteroids. Spacecraft magnetometers have reached a sweet spot combining a highly robust architecture with low mass and power requirements. The continued development and miniaturization of new fluxgate and atomic magnetometers and the development of spacecraft rock magnetometers offer the possibility of in situ rock magnetic investigations on the surfaces of the other bodies. This will permit unprecedented new constraints on their magnetic mineralogies, geologic, climatic, and geomagnetic histories.

5. Biogeomagnetism. Many organisms, from mammals to bacteria, are sensitive to the geomagnetic field and use it for orientation and navigation (in combination with other environmental cues). While magnetofossils are known to be important contributors to records of the ancient geomagnetic field in sediments, and biogenic magnetite and greigite is believed to be essential for magnetoreception, we have only a poor understanding of how various life forms accommodate large scale changes in field strength, direction, and changing exposure to space weather. The science of magnetoreception is a burgeoning interdisciplinary field involving behavioral biology, biological physics, neuroscience, geophysics, and rock magnetism. Researchers studying magnetotactic bacteria are developing a database for information related to their gene sequencing and basic rock magnetic properties, http://database.biommsl.com/index.html. Such studies complement the increasing successful effort to identify magnetofossils and other Fe-biominerals throughout geologic history, and to determine their first appearance in the geologic record.

6. Community Databases, Computational Resources, and Cyberinfrastructure. Most of the topics listed above have been of major interest for several decades, and significant progress still requires high quality global data sets spanning relevant time intervals. The magnetics community has anticipated the move towards large-scale database development that has culminated in the NSF EarthCube Initiative, and recent efforts like the Magnetics Information Consortium (MagIC) and related services devoted to individual data types (e.g. Geomagia50, PINT) preserve access to the cumulative body of knowledge necessary to make progress on both regional and global scale problems. For example, such databases are required for researchers to isolate evidence, or lack thereof, for dominantly dipolar field structure in paleointensity data. The MagIC database opens the doors for understanding bias in published data through the careful documentation of materials, methodology, and data. Much work remains to be done in order to provide computational resources for the magnetics community. Specific computational needs vary according to whether a study is rock magnetic, enviromagnetic, paleomagnetic, or geomagnetic in nature, and no single research is capable of satisfying all of these needs. However as a community, we advocate for streamlining our computational needs under a single, or at least a minimal number, of cyberinfrastructures. EarthRef.org, which currently hosts MagIC, is perhaps the best existing platform for our community, and can in the future also provide public access for various types of modeling, fitting, and inversion software provided by researchers from around the world. These software applications can be developed at any institution, but should ultimately be hosted through EarthRef.org, and integrated with MagIC databases. Along these lines EarthRef.org already serves as a host for geomagnetic field models through ERDA (Earth Reference Digital Archive), and these models provide a strategic method for dating and/or evaluating new archaeological or other Holocene data.
cal information in an increasingly interdisciplinary science environment. Other areas that stand to benefit from progress in paleo and rock magnetic research include planetary science, geodynamo studies, paleoceanography, paleoclimate, environmental science, archaeology, geochronology and tectonic studies. A recent National Research Council report, “New Research Opportunities in the Earth Sciences” emphasized the need for synergistic approaches involving rock and paleomagnetism. The NSF EarthCube Initiative currently being developed provides the vision of using the cyberinfrastructure generated in EarthCube as an experimental instrument in its own right, giving access to experimental data and modeling tools across a broad range of fields and using them to make new linkages that drive the agenda for cutting-edge interdisciplinary science. The international rock- and paleomagnetic community are eager to contribute with new data, theoretical ideas, and numerical modeling.

Figure 1. Results of a keyword search on the ISI Web of Knowledge performed for different areas of research in magnetism for ten-year intervals going back from November 2013 to 1954 (Note the logarithmic scale)
The Institute for Rock Magnetism is dedicated to providing state-of-the-art facilities and technical expertise free of charge to any interested researcher who applies and is accepted as a Visiting Fellow. Short proposals are accepted semi-annually in spring and fall for work to be done in a 10-day period during the following half year. Shorter, less formal visits are arranged on an individual basis through the Facilities Manager.
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